Project Timeline

2012 - 2017

- 2012: SPLOST approved by Thomas County voters.
- 2014-2017: Thomas County contracts with Falcon Engineering to develop a design featuring 3 lanes.
- Summer 2017: Thomas County secures $3m GDOT grant to fund road improvements.
- Summer 2017: It is determined that the City of Thomasville owns a majority of the ROW in proposed improvement area.
SECTION NINE

Ballot Language

The ballot for the question of imposing the SPLOST shall read as follows:

( ) YES Shall a special 1 percent sales and use tax be imposed in the special district of Thomas County for a period of time not to exceed 24 calendar quarters and for the raising of an estimated amount of $42 million for the purpose of capital outlay projects in Barwick, Boston, Coolidge, Meigs, Ochlocknee, Pavo, Thomasville and Thomas County, Georgia, including road, street, and bridge improvements; the construction of water, sewer, and/or storm water capital outlay projects to be owned and operated by qualified municipalities within the special district; the construction of public safety facilities and the purchase of public safety equipment; the expansion of the Jail Justice Center; the construction of cultural and recreational facilities; and the development of the Red Hills Business Park.

( ) NO
August 2017

- August 9: City-County combined workshop is held; ROW ownership and responsibility are discussed as well as other options for improving South Pinetree Blvd. Both parties agree to negotiate IGA.
- August 14: City Council Workshop & Meeting is held; additional design options are presented to City Council. Several County Commissioners were in attendance. Council votes to approve a resolution to negotiate with County Commission for IGA.
- August 22: County Commission Meeting is held; votes to enter into IGA.
• City Council unanimously votes to award contract for redesign to Falcon Engineering. Details of the two-lane plan are included in the contract with Falcon.
• Council votes unanimously to approve IGA for ownership, pending County approval.
February 2018

- County Commission votes 4-3 to approve IGA for ownership.
Roundabout Concept

All Plans – at Magnolia Intersection
Roadway Concepts

Existing Two-Lane Typical Section

[Diagram of a two-lane road with a depth of field effect, showing two cars on the road, separated by a double yellow line. Dimensions are indicated with 11" markings.]
Roadway Concepts

Existing Two-Lane Typical Section
Roadway Concepts

Proposed Continuous Center Lane Typical Section/10 ft. trail

Considerations:
- ROW Ownership: City
- Utility Relocation: High
- Traffic Disruption: High
- ROW Acquisition: Yes - 10 ft.
- Cost: $3.5 - $4m
Roadway Concepts

Proposed Continuous Center Lane Typical Section/10 ft. trail
Roadway Concepts

Proposed Two-Lane/Widened Shoulder Typical Section/10 ft. trail

Considerations:
• ROW Ownership: City
• Utility Relocation: Medium
• Traffic Disruption: High
• ROW Acquisition: Only for Roundabout Installation
• Cost: $2.5 – 3m
Roadway Concepts

Proposed Two-Lane/Widened Shoulder Typical Section/10 ft. trail
New Concepts
Roadway Concepts

Proposed Continuous Center Lane Typical Section/5 ft sidewalk

Considerations:

- ROW Ownership: City
- Utility Relocation: Medium
- Traffic Disruption: High
- ROW Acquisition: Only for Roundabout Installation
- Cost: $3 - $4m
Roadway Concepts
Proposed Continuous Center Lane Typical Section/5 ft sidewalk
Roadway Concepts

Proposed Two-Lane/Widened Shoulder Typical Section/5 ft sidewalk

Considerations:

- ROW Ownership: City
- Utility Relocation: Medium
- Traffic Disruption: High
- ROW Acquisition: Only for Roundabout Installation
- Cost: $2.5 3m
Roadway Concepts
Proposed Two-Lane/Widened Shoulder Typical Section/5 ft sidewalk
Roadway Concepts

Existing Two-Lane Typical Section with 10 ft trail (Comp Plan model)

Considerations:

- ROW Ownership: City
- Utility Relocation: Medium
- Traffic Disruption: Low
- ROW Acquisition: Only for Roundabout Installation
Roadway Concepts
Existing Two-Lane Typical Section with 10 ft trail (Comp Plan model)
Roadway Concepts

Existing Two-Lane Typical Section with 5 ft sidewalk

Considerations:

- ROW Ownership: City
- Utility Relocation: Medium
- Traffic Disruption: Low
- ROW Acquisition: Only for Roundabout Installation
- Cost: $1 - 2m
Roadway Concepts

Existing Two-Lane Typical Section with 5 ft sidewalk
Questions
Test Question

Test Question…

1. I like the color blue.

2. I’m neutral on the color blue.

3. Please – any color but blue.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- I like the color blue.
- I’m neutral on the color blue.
- Please – any color but blue.
Tell us who you are…

1. I own property or live directly on the proposed section of South Pinetree Boulevard, between West Jackson Street and Magnolia Street.

2. I own property or live in an area adjacent to this section of South Pinetree Boulevard, between West Jackson Street and Magnolia Street.

3. I own property or live on/near another section of South Pinetree Boulevard.

4. I do not live in the area along South Pinetree Boulevard, but I travel this corridor frequently.

5. I do not live in the area along South Pinetree Boulevard, but I travel this corridor occasionally.

6. I am an interested citizen.
**Tell us who you are...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I own property or live directly on the proposed section of S. Pinetree Blvd, between W. Jackson &amp; Magnolia.</td>
<td>I own property or live in an area adjacent to this section of S. Pinetree Blvd.</td>
<td>I own property or live on/near another section of S. Pinetree Blvd.</td>
<td>I do not live in the area along S. Pinetree Blvd, but I travel this corridor frequently.</td>
<td>I do not live in the area along S. Pinetree Blvd, but I travel this corridor occasionally.</td>
<td>I am an interested citizen.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions

What is your primary concern regarding improvements in this area?

1. Speed
2. Safety
3. Traffic
4. Project cost
5. Look and character
What is your major concern regarding improvements in this area?

- Speed
- Safety
- Traffic
- Project cost
- Look and character
Which concept do you think is the best fit for this area?

| #1 | Existing Roadway/Resurface Only |
| #2 | Existing Roadway/Resurface Only with 10 ft trail |
| #3 | Proposed Continuous Center Lane Concept/10 ft trail |
| #4 | Proposed Continuous Center Lane Concept/5 ft sidewalk |
| #5 | Proposed Two Lane Widened Shoulder Concept/10 ft trail |
| #6 | Proposed Two Lane Widened Shoulder Concept/5 ft sidewalk |
| #7 | Existing Roadway/Resurface Only with 5 ft sidewalk |
Which concept do you think is the best fit for this area?
Public Comments

- State your name and *general* address.
- For the sake of time, each speaker will have three minutes.
- All comments will be recorded.
- Please be courteous and respectful of others who may have a different opinion from your own.
Thank you for your feedback!